

“Making judgments lead to justice: Enhancing the capacity of North Macedonian lawyers to advocate for the implementation of Strasbourg Court judgments”

European Implementation Network (EIN) Webinar

Thursday 4th June, 15.30-17.00 pm

PROGRAMME

Kick-off: The implementation of judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in North Macedonia

- 15.30 – 15.45
- Why advocate for the implementation of ECtHR judgments?
 - North Macedonia’s record of implementing ECtHR judgments

Session 1: The basics – What you need to know about the judgment execution process

- 15.45-16.15
- Understanding the process: Key elements
 - *The role of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe (CM), and the Department for the Execution of Judgments (DEJ)*
 - *Individual measures (IM) vs general measures (GM)*
 - *Leading vs repetitive cases*
 - *Action plan vs Action reports*
 - *Enhanced vs standard supervision*
 - Why is the CM an important advocacy avenue for NGOs and lawyers?
 - *Participatory nature of the CM judgment execution process*
 - *Rule 9.1 v Rule 9.2 submissions*

Q & A session

Session 2: How can civil society and lawyers engage in this process?

- 16.15-16.45
- Where to start?
 - *Where to find the relevant information?*
 - *Understanding where the dialogue on a case has got to?*
 - How to add value?
 - *Main elements to keep in mind when writing a Rule 9 submission*
 - *The importance of timing*
 - *Assessing your impact*

Q & A session

Conclusion and Open discussion: Moving forward – Identifying key cases vs North Macedonia for civil society engagement with the CM judgment execution process

- 16.45-17.00
- The importance of combining Strasbourg advocacy with domestic-based advocacy
 - Key cases v North Macedonia: discussion about possible follow-up